UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,596	12/20/2005	Graham R. Purkins	58847US004	9799
	7590 11/18/200 TVE PROPERTIES CO	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN		LEE, EDMUND H		
S1. FAOL, MIN	N 33133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

		Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s	s)			
		10	/561,596	PURKINS E	PURKINS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			aminer	Art Unit				
		ED	MUND H. LEE	1791				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears	on the cover shee	t with the corresponder	nce address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum is reto reply within the set or extended period for replete reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. tatutory period will app y will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMU In no event, however, ma oly and will expire SIX (6) the application to become	JNICATION. By a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date to the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1)	of this communication.			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 02 June 2	2009 and 07 Augu	st 2009				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 June 2009 and 07 August 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-16,18,22,23 and 26-32</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
'=	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,19-21,24 and 25</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,						
· —	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ction requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	ne Evaminer						
• —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		d or h)□ objected	to by the Examiner				
.0/	- ' '		· -	-	5(a)			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<u> </u>	_		"	0 0 440/) /) / (0)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign prio	rity under 35 U.S.	J. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority			A 11 (1 A)				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* ~	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	'⊟' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '							
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/5/06</u> .		· —		VII			

Application/Control Number: 10/561,596 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-16,18,22-23,26-32 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 6/2/09 and 8/7/09.

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 17,19,20,21,24, and 25 in the reply filed on 6/2/09 and 8/7/09 is acknowledged.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 17,19,20,21, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leys et al (US 2002/0036017) in view of McPeak et al (USPN 6880808). In regard to claim 17, Leys et al teach the claimed process (paragraphs 0025 and 0031; fig 3) except using one of the claimed materials for the stem. McPeak et al teach a valve body and valve housing, wherein both body and housing are molding from PEEK or PAEK (patented claims 6 and 9 and 11). Since Leys et al and McPeak et al are analogous with respect to valves, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute PAEK for the PEEK of Leys et al since they are substitutable alternatives as taught by McPeak et al. In regard to claim 19, such is taught by Leys et al (paragraph 0023 and 0025 and 0031). In regard to claim 20, the use of a specific material is a mere obvious matter of choice

Application/Control Number: 10/561,596

Art Unit: 1791

dependent on the desired final product and of little patentable consequence to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature or step of the claimed process. Further, the claimed material is well-known in the molding and valve arts. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the claimed material into the process of Leys et al in order to mold diverse valves. In regard to 21, such is inherently taught by Leys et al (paragraphs 0025 and 0031; fig 3)--it should be noted that the valve stem has to be removed from the mold in order to be useable. In regard to claim 24, such is well-known in the molding art in order to reduce cycle time (i.e. freeing up the mold for subsequent molding cycles) or strengthening the molded article through annealing. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to subject the article of Leys et al to thermal treatment in order to reduce cycle time or strength the article. In regard to claim 25, such is well-known in the molding art as an efficient means for molding and overmolding. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to injection molding either the stem or seal of Leys et al in order to efficiently form the article of Leys et al.

Page 3

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following teach the state of the art: 5456830; 5772085; 2002/0190085.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is

571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571.272.1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EDMUND H. LEE Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791

EHL

/EDMUND H. LEE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791